UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,779	79 01/12/2004 Rachel Heitz		03292.101860.	1778
	7590 12/22/200 CCELLA (AMEX)	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of	f the Americas	AKINTOLA, OLABODE		
NEW YORK, N	N I 10104-3800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner			Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
OLABODE AKINTOLA 3891 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 18 NO period for right is specified above, the maximum statistory prioritis will apply and will express SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Features for going within the set or constituted priority of right sheet will be specified above. The maximum statistory prioritis will apply and will express SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Features for going within the set or constituted prioritis sheet fire mailing date of this communication, seen if fitnesh (filed, may reduce any sample) and seen and equations. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 September 2009 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10-13,16-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cart or equation is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Some collected of the priority docume	Office Action Summary		10/707,	779	HEITZ ET AL.			
Prior Mailung DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exhibitions of their may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(3). Inno ovent, nower, may a reply be telled after 50X (6) MONTHS from the inating date of this communication. If No period the may be a specified advent. the resolutions of 37 CFR 1.704(b). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searce plates than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)② Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 September 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)② Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10-13.16-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)② Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10-13.16-19 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)② Claim(s) is/are allowed. Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.15(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The odth or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a).(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ So			Examin	er	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field with 50 Kill MONTH's from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to reply within the 0 set or outsended period for ruply will by statute, cause the application to bocome ABANDONED (39 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply, recibilists from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any outself produced to the communication of the production of the communication. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 September 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is plication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10-13.16-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The paper and provided the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * O☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in			OLABOI	DE AKINTOLA	3691			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estamations of this may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1/34(s). In one-work, however, may a reply be timely filled after 51k (§) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maining metalutry period will apply and will expire 50k (§) MONTHS from the serilized after 51k (§) MONTHS from the serilized fills of this communication. Any reply acceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient ferm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1/74(s). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 September 2009. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10-13,16-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or			ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10-13.16-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after	ILING DATE OF 7 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no dication. tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be ting will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from coplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4	Status							
4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10-13.16-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.5-8.10-13.16-19 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition fo)⊠ This action is r allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8,10-13,16-19 and 21-2</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to.	withdrawn from c	onsideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicati	on Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	a) accepted or I on to the drawing(s) ne correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Surrinary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	1) X Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	D-948)	5) Notice of Informal F	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/28/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-13 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al (US 5477038) in view of "Visa International Launches Major Smart Card Program" ("VISA") in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art ("AAPA")(US 20050154670).

Claims 1, 17 and 21-23; Levine teaches a method and a corresponding system for facilitating distribution of a transaction account card through a distributor, the method comprising the steps of: issuing a transaction account card to the distributor (col. 2, lines 31-32); and receiving information from the distributor via local software, wherein said information

reports the distribution of said transaction account card, wherein said card is one of a plurality of cards, and wherein said plurality of cards are consigned in groups to the distributor (col. 2, lines 31-57); processing said information via a local software (col. 2, lines 31-57).

Levine does not teach receiving information via MICR protocol or preexisting Traveler's Check infrastructure; processing said information via a pre-established Travelers Cheque infrastructure; and transmitting said processed information to a non-Travelers Cheque transaction account infrastructure (i.e. prepaid card infrastructure). However, Levine explicitly teaches prepaid cards (abstract).

AAPA discloses that the use of MICR protocol or preexisting Travelers Check infrastructure to receive information from a distributor regarding a sale of Travelers Check is old and well known (see Background of Invention (Para 003-0010). Since each individual element and its function are shown in the prior art, the difference between the claimed subject matter and the prior art rest not on any individual element or function but in the very combination itself- that is in the substitution of the local software for the MICR protocol or preexisting Traveler's Check infrastructure. Thus, the simple substitution of one known element for another for producing a predictable result renders the claim obvious.

VISA teaches the concept of combining plurality of infrastructure such as credit card infrastructure and stored value (prepaid card) infrastructure (pages 79-82).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Levine to include this feature for the obvious reason of sharing the same infrastructure for both Travelers cheque transaction and non Traveler cheque transaction, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the system.

Claim 2; Levine teaches the step of activating said distributed transaction account card (abstract).

Claim 5; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of VISA in view of AAPA further teaches the steps of: associating a first number with said transaction account card, wherein said first number is configured to conform to said MICR protocol, wherein said first number comprises, at least in part, a plurality of sequential numbers; and associating a second number with said transaction account card, wherein said second number is a randomized account number (col. 2, lines 47-58).

Claim 6; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of VISA in view of AAPA further teaches wherein a purchase agreement is associated with said card and bears indicia of said first number.

Claim 7; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of VISA in view of AAPA further teaches wherein said indicia of said first number is embodied in a MICR line visible on said purchase agreement form.

Claim 8; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of VISA in view of AAPA further teaches wherein said second number is an account number, wherein said distribution comprises a sale of said transaction account, and wherein the distributor is a third party seller.

Claim 10; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of VISA in view of AAPA further teaches the step of paying said third party seller a commission for said sale of said transaction account based on at least a portion of the information received from said third party seller (col. 6, lines 14-20).

Claim 11; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of VISA in view of AAPA further teaches a serial number and an account number, and wherein said activating step further comprises the step of confirming that activation is appropriate by confirming at least one of the following information received from said third party distributor: a purchase location, said serial number and said account number (col. 2, lines 47-58).

Claims 12 and 16; Levine teaches a transaction account distribution device for facilitating the distribution of a card by a distributor, said transaction account distribution device comprising a card, wherein said card is also associated with a first number that is configured for communication in a protocol;

Levine does not teach MICR protocol or Travelers check infrastructure; and a purchase agreement form configured to bear a MICR line configured to be machine read for conveying said first number to a computer system, wherein said first number is associated with a preestablished Travelers Cheque identifier, wherein said pre-established Travelers Cheque identifier is associated with an identifier of a non Travelers Cheques account infrastructure. However, AAPA discloses that the use of MICR protocol or preexisting Travelers Check infrastructure to receive information from a distributor regarding a sale of Travelers Check is old and well known.

In addition AAPA discloses the use PAF in a Travelers check transaction (see Background of Invention). Since each individual element and its function are shown in the prior art, the difference between the claimed subject matter and the prior art rest not on any individual element or function but in the very combination itself- that is in the substitution of the local software for the MICR protocol or preexisting Traveler's Check infrastructure including the use of PAF. Thus, the simple substitution of one known element for another for producing a predictable result renders the claim obvious.

VISA teaches the concept of combining plurality of infrastructure such as credit card infrastructure and stored value (prepaid card) infrastructure (pages 79-82).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Levine to include this feature for the obvious reason of sharing the same infrastructure for both Travelers cheque transaction and non Traveler cheque transaction, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the system.

Claims 13 and 18-19; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine teaches wherein said first number is a serialized number (col. 2, lines 48-58).

Claim 12; Levine teaches wherein said card is a pre-paid card (abstract).

Claim 20; Levine teaches wherein the cards are pre-paid cards and wherein each of the pre-paid cards is funded no earlier than the sale of the pre-paid card by the distributor (abstract).

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to OLABODE AKINTOLA whose telephone number is (571)272-

3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Olabode Akintola/

Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Application/Control Number: 10/707,779

Page 8

Art Unit: 3691